

CLERK: No, sir, I do not.

SPEAKER BAACK: Slow day up there. Okay, here we go to Item 7, LB 423.

CLERK: Mr. President, I have a...first item I have on 423 is an amendment from Senator Landis. Senator, you had one printed, and I...but I have a note that you want to withdraw it and offer AM1519.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Clerk, do we have one AM1323?

CLERK: Yes, sir.

SENATOR LANDIS: And is that the one that we're thinking of withdrawing at this moment?

CLERK: Yes, sir, or 1523, or 1519, I was given it last night, after we left the floor.

SENATOR LANDIS: Let me just take a look, just a moment. The reason I...okay. Thank you very much. Members of this Legislature, this amendment contains three different ideas, two of them are amendments to a bill that we passed earlier today with respect to the use of ATMs, electronic fund transfer machines. Two technical changes need to be done. The first is to make an adjustment to our nondiscrimination language. We have a provision that says if you're a user of an ATM, you can't discriminate in the fees and services that are charged for the use of your ATM to different customers. That makes perfect sense. On the other hand, at the time we passed this generalized statute we did not contemplate the creation of national linkups between ATMs. Now, that subsequently happened since we created this nondiscrimination provision. And since there is a special cost to doing it in that way, a fee has sprung up for being linked into or using national networks. And because you probably have a national network, it changes the pricing structure. Fees now differentiate as to whether you're an ATM user that has a national linkup and whether you don't, and that needs to be harmonized with our nondiscrimination language, which was written prior to this phenomenon even existing. I can tell you that the amendment has been reviewed and looked at by all parties inside the financial community,